Greenville Independent School District Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy

2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Through exploration, collaboration, and discovery in Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics, we will empower student leadership in an innovative learning environment.

Vision

Explore the WHY to discover what others have yet to imagine. #exploretheWHY

Core Beliefs

At Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy CORE VALUES Enable THE WHY:

TEAMWORK

MOTIVATION

COURAGE

PERSERVERANCE

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Achievement	4
School Culture and Climate	5
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	6
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	7
Parent and Community Engagement	8
School Context and Organization	9
Technology	10
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	12
Goals	14
Goal 1: By July 2022, Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will earn a Met Standards rating, "B" with at least 80% approaches, 70% meets and 27% masters on all state tests.	15
	15
Goal 2: Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and employee effectiveness through implementation of Capturing Kids Hearts model and a campus house model from Ron Clark.	20
Goal 3: Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will follow district processes to actively recruit, support, develop, GT certify, and retain highly qualified employees for all areas of the organization.	23
Goal 4: Communication among Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett employees, students, parents and the community at-large will be accurate, consistent, timely, effective and interactive, and provide for family and community involvement that result in positive partnerships.	24
Addendums	26

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

The student population at Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett is 357 as of September 2021; and serves students in grades kindergarten through fifth. According to the district data, student population includes: Hispanic 34.7%, White 36.4%, American Indian/Alaskan Native .01%, African American 18%, Two or More Races 8.4%, Economically disadvantaged 87.6%,Limited English Proficient (LEP) 14%. We serve identified Gifted and Talented 6.7% and 12% Special Education students. Our current campus attendance rate is approximately 96.8%.

Demographics Strengths

- Attendance Rate 96.8%
- Attendance Recognition and class incentives for being HERE, EVERY DAY, READY, and ON TIME (H.E.R.O)
- Communication procedures between home and school regarding attendance

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Demographics do not mirror exact District demographics. Root Cause: Communication of what STEM was and how it would benefit their child was not clear.

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

See attachments at the end

Student Achievement Strengths

• Overall, our students have greater strengths in ELAR than Math

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Staff is unprepared to create lessons that are rigorous, objective-driven and engaging so students. Teachers need classroom routines, and formative assessments that yield the data necessary for teachers to reflect, adjust and deliver instruction before the nine week assessments so they can meet the needs of each student/groups. **Root Cause:** Planning using rigor and end goals in mind are not evident in teacher lesson plans. Classroom procedures in areas of the building are not sufficient to implement guided lessons.

Problem Statement 2: No strategy to implement effective teaching in the classroom. Strategist were not used to help teachers understand the pacing guide and how to implement guided lessons and rigor. **Root Cause:** Expectations and accountability partners were not evident to help reflect and strategize on TEKS; based upon data and rigor needed to be successful on state assessments and other district learning assessments.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

Student learning at STEM is very student directed and less teacher directed. A one on one meeting was implemented with staff about last year and where we needed to go. Staff want unified partnerships with their peers and parents so our campus can grow in strength. Staff want KGJ to be a campus that stakeholders want to know about because they feel welcomed and a place where they belong.

School Culture and Climate Strengths

The teachers who completed the survey rated their satisfaction with our current culture and climate in the following ways.

- 13 teachers said they like coming to work "a great deal" and 10 said, "a lot."
- The 23 teachers who answered the survey said they would return next year
- 21 teachers said the climate and culture has improved since 2020

Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: Many teachers felt isolated and only labeled as teachers and not leaders on campus. Root Cause: Climate in the building had no true leader, just teams and the teams were not unified as a campus.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

Teachers were chosen by the principal from sources such as Facebook teacher groups, word of mouth and Teacher Job Network. All of our teachers are highly qualified and knowledgeable in their fields.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

- Highly Qualified Teachers .
- GT (30 hours) Trained Teachers (only 2 teachers have not completed this training due to time constraints but will complete by end of fall 2022).
- Highly Qualified Administrator.
- Teacher Evaluation Process helps improve teacher's ability to fulfill their job assignment.
- Teacher Awareness of student needs.
- Highly collaborative campus both vertically and horizontally through the grades.
- PLC STEP program will grow our teachers

Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students are not being successful at meeting campus goals on assessments. Root Cause: Rigor and focusing on the end of the unit skill sets needed are not being utilized in all classrooms to drive lessons.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

STEM follows the Texas Essential Knowledge & Skills as a basis for all teaching and learning. GISD curriculum department provides a Year at Glance document and curriculum pacing guides for every grade level and subject. Teachers collaborate not only with their colleagues but instructional strategists to ensure lessons are designed to teach at the highest level of each standard.

Principal walk-throughs and feedback to teachers and staff in each grade level ensures that teaching is done with fidelity and rigor. Student success is directly related to this effort.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

STEM uses universal screeners, such as Ren Star 360 and DRA, to help identify student needs. This information along with classroom assessments, RIGBY and district data from 9 week summative tests help determine strengths and weaknesses to aid in student intervention needs. Teachers use the Engineering process for design challenges in order for students to have opportunities for real world application of the concepts they are learning in class and to make connections across disciplines. Through these processes and performances assessments, students prove successful in many areas.

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1: Rigor and instruction in the classroom do not meet the expectations of our campus assessment and STAAR. **Root Cause:** Formal assessment bank to build tests for Units in order to create data to drive instruction was not present. Students getting quarantined or getting inconsistent instruction in 20-21 school year created further gaps in learning.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Parents, staff and students have responded very positively about the changes made outside and inside the building regarding the atmosphere and learning taking place. KGJ has embraced the partnership with the parents at our school.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

- Our families desire to be involved at STEM.
- We have a strong social media presence with our web pages, Facebook and Twitter accounts.
- We have received positive feedback through parent surveys.
- Parents are willing to partner to improve our school in any opportunity given.
- The campus has hosted Meet the Teacher Night, Parent Conference Day, Mad Scientist Day, Fuel Stores (incentives for good behavior), PTA kick off night, Grandparent's Day, STEM Showcases, and Gingerbread Night.
- We plan to host other events such as STEM project Nights, PTA nights Pizza, Thanksgiving Feast Days, Christmas Parties, Valentines Parties, Field Day, and End of the Semester and Year Awards Ceremonies with a special evening "Launch" for our 5th Graders.

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Minimal STEM community partnerships involved with STEM campus. **Root Cause:** Ineffective communication with area business and potential community partners as it relates to STEM.

School Context and Organization

School Context and Organization Summary

It is important to have systems in place so that there is not much inference or distractions from classroom instruction. School and rotation schedules are set to maximize the amount of time spend on explicit instruction. District guidelines are then followed when planning the time assigned for each content area. Intervention times are built into the daily schedule to provide additional academic help/assistance to struggling learners. Additional events, staff development, and instructional meetings along with general notes are housed in our faculty weekly emails. Teachers are given opportunities to present at faculty meetings to empower leadership on our campus.

School Context and Organization Strengths

- Capturing Kids Hearts is the main concept and philosophy regarding student discipline; Morning Meeting each day to create effective student/teacher relationships through SEL opportunities.
- House system implemented on campus to support belonging and peer modeling through interaction among grade levels.
- Our teachers are highly collaborative
- Teachers feel they have a voice in the decision making process.
- Master schedule geared toward student achievement.
- Data analysis at STEP meetings

Problem Statements Identifying School Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1: Programs such as RtI (campus wide) and Guided Reading for grades 3-5 were not widely understood, along with focusing on the end goal when lesson planning. **Root Cause:** Many teachers had not previously been trained.

Technology

Technology Summary

STEM is equipped with wireless internet access where all stakeholders have access to such technology. Our campus maintains the current use of technology in the classrooms and across the campus. These items include Chromebooks, IPads, printers, document cameras, projectors, and Promethean boards. Our teachers and students are moving to teaching and learning using a variety of technology items alongside learning all of the vocabulary and concepts as required by the Technology TEKS. We are a 1:1 campus.

Technology Strengths

- Teachers are willing to branch out and learn different options for instruction through the use of technology.
- Many of our students are very "tech savvy"
- Students are fully engaged in digital learning spaces.
- Less paper is used as a result of higher technology use.
- Instructional Technologist on campus that is very helpful and knowledgeable.
- STEM Lab

Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs

Problem Statement 1: While teachers are making strides in using technology embedded lessons in learning environments, these lessons should use data to keep in mind how successful were our students using this method. **Root Cause:** COVID 19 has created a need for technology infused blended environments that we now see were not as successful as teacher driven instruction. This realization will require teachers to go back to building successful lessons and use technology as a way, not the only way.

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- STEM/STEAM data
- Section 504 data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- · Discipline records

• Student surveys and/or other feedback

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- TTESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: By July 2022, Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will earn a Met Standards rating, "B" with at least 80% approaches, 70% meets and 27% masters on all state tests.

Performance Objective 1: KGJ will continue to strive toward achieving the highest accountability rating established by TEA .

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers and administrators are actively training in the STEP process of PLC Data driven interventions to		Formative		Summative	
be intentional and drive instruction in the classroom.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To increase rigor and performance in the classroom for both teachers and students.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Building administrators and teachers.					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Student Achievement: Every student will know his/her goal for every subject to move students to the		Formative		Summative	
"Meets" and "Masters" performance standards. Teachers will review goals with students after every checkpoint/summative test and students will keep accurate accounting on a running goal sheet.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement showing 70% of KGJ students earning Meets or Masters performance standards on 2 or more STAAR tested subjects, and 70% meeting district standard in non STAAR tested grades/subjects.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher and Principal					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Attendance: Our attendance committee is implementing HERO (Here, Everyday, Ready and On Time) to	Formative		Summative		
help improve attendance on campus and achieve a 98% attendance rate on a weekly basis.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student attendance will directly impact increased student achievement by 70% of KGJ students earning Meets or Masters performance standards on 2 or more STAAR tested subjects, and 70% meeting district standard in non STAAR tested grades/subjects. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PEIMS clerk, attendance committee					
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: Master Schedule: Effective use of Master Schedule will ensure built in time for intervention and blocks of	Formative S			Summative	
uninterrupted instruction time.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More time dedicated to focused instruction will allow all students to make a full year's growth from BOY to EOY Universal screeners.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Students/Subjects with no Data:		Summative		
Teachers and students in K-2 and those in subjects with no data in grades 3-5 will analyze data after each check point and summative assessment to prepare students and support core subjects.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Better prepared teachers and students will result in increased student achievement by spiraling back low performance SE's into lessons plans no less than 2 days per week.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Teachers				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 2: All students will demonstrate a year's growth as reflected by STAAR assessment scores, as well as beginning, middle, and end of year assessments on RenSTAR360.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Campus interventionist will pull small groups of students and work on low performing TEKS in Reading		Formative		Summative	
and Math based upon data.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To close the gaps from instruction lost in the previous year.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration and Teachers					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Students will chart progress and conference after each data entry with teacher to determine what is needed		Formative	Formative Sur		
to help students achieve the goal that has been set.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students having a visual to show growth versus a number will result in students taking ownership of their learning which will lead to increased student achievement to show a full year's growth. Student growth can be tracked through BOY, MOY, and EOY testing along with summatives and checkpoints. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers 					
Image: Model Image: Model Image: Model Image: Model Image: Model Image: Mo	X Disc	continue			

Performance Objective 3: 73% of all STAAR tested grades (3-5) will achieve approaches standard in Math, with 40% achieving Meets and 27% Masters performance standard. 75% of K-2 students will reach the district passing standard in both reading and math.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR 2020 along with district 9 week formative assessments.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Student Achievement: Teachers will use information from Data Digs and analysis from Unit assessments to		Summative		
determine what SE's must be spiraled back in to lessons to student mastery.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Achievement gaps will close as ALL students will show growth from BOY to MOY Universal Screeners.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Principals				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Student Achievement: Teachers will use guided math as a resource to enhance math lessons to ensure		Summative		
student mastery with math concepts.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers are provided quality instruction with whole group, small group, and extension activities. This allows for more quality "teach time" to increase student achievement in K-5 as math concepts build on each other.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Principal				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Master Schedule: Effective use of Master Schedule will ensure built in time for intervention and blocks of		Formative		Summative
uninterrupted instruction time (90 minute blocks of time for Math and 90 for Reading).	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More time dedicated to focused instruction will allow all students to make a full year's growth from BOY to EOY Universal screeners.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Principal				
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Disc	continue		

Performance Objective 4: 83% of 5th graders will achieve approaches standard in Science, with 60% achieving Meets and 20% achieving Masters. 83% of K-4 students will reach the district passing standard.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR 2022 data along with district 9 week formative assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Student Achievement: Students will have core content skills reinforced through challenges in STEM LAB		Summative			
class. Strategy's Expected Posult/Impact: Teachers colleborating to bring oritical thinking Science skills will	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers collaborating to bring critical thinking Science skills will allow students to perform with 50% of students achieving Meets or Masters performance standards.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: STEM LAB teacher, Science Teachers					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Student Achievement: Science concepts are integrated into PE activities to increase student awareness that		Formative		Summative	
Science is everywhere.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students engaging in Science concepts all through the building show application of concepts being taught in the classroom. Allow application to critical thinking skills will increase student achievement at the higher level performance standards. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Principal 					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Community Partnerships: Community "experts" will be invited to speak to students regarding their		Summative			
personal STEM career in the spring 2022; other tools such as YouTube will be used for students to access information regarding STEM careers.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to see importance of STEM education as it relates to the future jobs in America.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
No Progress ON Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue			

Performance Objective 5: Teachers and administrators are actively trained in the STEP process of PLC data driven interventions to be intentional and drive instruction in the classroom.

Evaluation Data Sources: PLC agendas

Goal 2: Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and employee effectiveness through implementation of Capturing Kids Hearts model and a campus house model from Ron Clark.

Performance Objective 1: Students take ownership in campus behavior expectations through the use of Capturing Kids Hearts and the Ron Clark model, to reduce discipline issues and increase student social and emotional growth and achievement.

Evaluation Data Sources: Comparative Skyward discipline data 2021 to 2022

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students take ownership of growing their own social emotional behavior, focusing on positivity, respect,		Formative		Summative
integrity, determination and empathy using Ron Clark's house model which drives campus unity and pride.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Unity, diversity and deeper social emotional actions Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and campus administrators.				
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: School Culture: Counselor will provide guidance lessons using Cloud 9 social and emotional program to		Formative		Summative
teach character education and appropriate behavior.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As student discipline issues decrease, student achievement will increase due to the amount of instruction time that is not taken away due to behavior.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	_
Strategy 3: 100% of KHJ teachers will develop social contracts that outline classroom expectations.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students create classroom expectations and are all held accountable to	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
it. Students have ownership in the process which reduces discipline issues to maximize student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Teachers				
Image: Moment of the second	X Disc	continue	1	1

Goal 2: Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and employee effectiveness through implementation of Capturing Kids Hearts model and a campus house model from Ron Clark.

Performance Objective 2: Homeless students will have access to admission for enrollment as well as any educational services or benefits set forth by GISD

Evaluation Data Sources: PEIMS

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 1) In accordance with FDC-local KGJ STEM Academy will have a Liaison for homeless students to insure		Summative		
compliance with policy as prescribed.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students meeting homeless classification as defined by policy will have access to a Free and Appropriate Pubic Education (FAPE).				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Counselor, Secretary				
No Progress Oscomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

Goal 2: Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and employee effectiveness through implementation of Capturing Kids Hearts model and a campus house model from Ron Clark.

Performance Objective 3: Our campus student engagement Officer focused group of At Risk Students, will help to build relationships proactively.

Strategy 1 Details					Revi	iews		
	trategy 1: Our SEO will build relationships with students by being visible during times where students move through				Formative Sum			
the building before and after school as well as recess, lunch and school functions.				Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: For all students to think before acting and to decrease negative behavior incidents.								
	0% No Progress	Accomplished		X Discontinue				

Goal 3: Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett will follow district processes to actively recruit, support, develop, GT certify, and retain highly qualified employees for all areas of the organization.

Performance Objective 1: KGJ will work to partner with Rhonda Clark at Texas A & M Commerce to be a open showcase campus in order to build relationships with teacher candidates to display campus pride and community.

Evaluation Data Sources: Retention of teacher data at the end/beginning of each year from Human Resources.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: School Culture: Using district resources for professional development and allowing opportunities to grow		Summative		
leaders at KGJ will ensure that the highest standard of teaching practices are being used. The use of walk throughs, PLCs and campus planning/faculty meetings will help support and determine these measures are being used.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers having a deeper knowledge of content will give them the tools to teach at a higher level increasing T-TESS evaluations and increased student achievement to show 70% of students reaching the Meets or Masters scores.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: School Culture: 100% of KGJ teachers will disaggregate student achievement data after each summative		Formative		Summative
sessments.		Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement by 50% of KGJ students earning Meets or Masters performance standards on 2 or more STAAR tested subjects, and 70% meeting district standard in non STAAR tested grades/subjects.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Teacher				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: School Culture: Walkthroughs are conducted weekly to ensure high quality instruction is being delivered.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Principal will be able to know where teachers need deeper professional development to be top in their STEM assignment which will increase student achievement.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: School Culture: Weekly professional development is provided using principal, instructional specialist, and		Formative		Summative
teacher leaders to ensure high quality instruction at STEM.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher quality will result in deeper student knowledge of concepts to achieve the highest performance levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
$\stackrel{\scriptstyle{(0)}}{\longrightarrow} No Progress \qquad \stackrel{\scriptstyle{(0)}}{\longrightarrow} Accomplished \qquad \longrightarrow Continue/Modify$	X Disc	continue		

Goal 4: Communication among Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett employees, students, parents and the community at-large will be accurate, consistent, timely, effective and interactive, and provide for family and community involvement that result in positive partnerships.

Performance Objective 1: Each teacher will use a method of communication to contact parents/guardians to keep them updated and for support and participation at school.

Evaluation Data Sources: Technology announcement applications and blackboard for campus use.

Goal 4: Communication among Katherine G. Johnson STEM Academy at Crockett employees, students, parents and the community at-large will be accurate, consistent, timely, effective and interactive, and provide for family and community involvement that result in positive partnerships.

Performance Objective 2: Campus PTA is active and planning to engage students, teachers and families during the course of the year with activities that will support and encourage partnerships.

Evaluation Data Sources: PTA calendar of activities

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: This year we will poll the community and students to vote on a mascot for our entire campus to exhibit		Summative		
unity of all students and parents.		Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Unity of entire campus and neighborhood				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Build in "dress up" days to our calendar so students and families can have conversations about their hopes		Summative		
and dreams. ie."who I want to be," "famous person day."	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build community				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Addendums

Texas Education Agency 2021 STAAR Performance KATHERINE G JOHNSON STEM ACADEMY (116905114) - GREENVILLE ISD

Calculation Report

STAAR Performance	Reading	Mathematics	Writing	Science	Social Studies	Totals	Percentages
Total Tests	73	73	28	20		194	
Approaches GL or Above	45	35	15	12		107	55%
Meets GL or Above	21	13	6	5		45	23%
Masters GL	8	8	2	3		21	11%
Total Percentage Points							89%
Component Score							30

Data Table

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)	EL (Current & Monitored)	Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously
						1	All Su	bjects						1	
Percent of 1	Tests														
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	55%	32%	60%	55%	-	-	-	59%	54%	45%	45%	14%	50%	63%	36%
At Meets GL Standard or Above	23%	18%	22%	28%	-	-	-	12%	24%	19%	19%	3%	10%	29%	9%
At Masters GL Standard	11%	9%	9%	16%	-	-	-	0%	12%	10%	10%	0%	0%	14%	2%
Number of 1	Fests		1			1		1			1	1		1	
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	107	7	49	41	-	-	-	10	84	14	14	5	5	87	20
At Meets GL Standard or Above	45	4	18	21	-	-	-	2	37	6	6	1	1	40	5
At Masters GL Standard	21	2	7	12	-	-	-	0	19	3	3	0	0	20	1
Total Tests	194	22	81	74	-	-	-	17	156	31	31	37	10	138	56
Participatio	n														
% participation 2018-19	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% participation 2020-21	96%	89%	97%	100%	-	-	-	87%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
							ELA/R	eading							
Percent of T	Tests														
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	62%	25%	69%	62%	-	-	-	71%	59%	55%	55%	14%	*	69%	43%

9/12/21, 1:40 PM

TEA

Ar Meets 29% 13% 34% 54% 0% 31% 27% 27% 0% 95% Standard or Grid Grid Grid Grid Grid Grid Grid Gri		All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)	EL (Current & Monitored)	Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously
Number of Tsis 11% 13% 7% 17% 0.% 14% 9% 9% 0% 15% Standard of Standard of	GL					-	-	-	0%	31%	27%	27%	0%	*	35%	14%
Bit mand Image Image <thimage< th=""> Image Image</thimage<>																
Number of Tests v	GL	11%	13%	7%	17%	-	-	-	0%	14%	9%	9%	0%	*	15%	0%
N 45 2 20 18 - - 5 35 66 66 21 36 Standard or Sbowe 21 11 10 10 - - 0 18 33 33 0 18 Standard or Sbowe 21 11 10 10 - - 0 18 33 33 0 18 Standard or Sbowe 3 20 29 - - 0 8 11 10 0 10		Tests														
Shadard or Shadar			2	20	18	-	-	-	5	35	6	6	2	*	36	(
3.1. Mathem 3.1. Mathem 1.1. 1.2. 1.2. 1.1. </td <td>GL Standard or</td> <td></td>	GL Standard or															
character ch	GL Standard or	21	1	10	10	-	-	-	0	18	3	3	0	*	18	3
Total Tests 73 8 29 29 - - 7 59 11 11 14 . 52 Participation participation participation participation participation participation 100% - - - 100%	GL	8	1	2	5	-	-	-	0	8	1	1	0	*	8	(
Nome cold 100 <th1< td=""><td></td><td>73</td><td>Q</td><td>20</td><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td>50</td><td>11</td><td>11</td><td>1/</td><td>*</td><td>52</td><td>21</td></th1<>		73	Q	20	20				7	50	11	11	1/	*	52	21
$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{10\%}$			0	23	23		_		,			11	14		52	2
	% participation	100%	*	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%
Percent of Tests At Approaches Standard or Above A8% 38% 55% 41% 57% 49% 36% 36% 21% 56% Standard or Above 18% 25% 14% 21% 57% 49% 36% 36% 21% 56% At Mests GL 18% 25% 14% 21% 0 17% 18% 18% 7% 23% Standard or Above 11% 13% 10% 14% 0 0% 10% 18% 18% 0% 15% Number of Tests 0% 10% 18% 18% 0% 12% 28% At Mesters 33 16 12 0 10% 29 4 4 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 29 1	participation	96%	90%	97%	100%	-	-	-	89%	97%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	89%
At Approaches GL Standard or 48% 38% 55% 41% $ 57\%$ 49% 36% 36% 21% $ 56\%$ $-$ At Maets Schadard or 18% 25% 14% 21% $ 14\%$ 17% 18% 18% 7% $ 23\%$ At Maets Schadard or 11% 13% 10% 14% $ 0\%$ 10% 18% 18% 18% 0% $ 23\%$ At Maets Schadard or 11% 13% 10% 14% $ 0\%$ 10% 18% 18% 18% 0% 0% 15% Number of Toets $ 0\%$ 10% 10% 10% 0% 10% 0% 10% <								Mathe	matics							
Approaches Shadard or Above Image: Marking the standard or Ab	Percent of T	Tests														
At Meets GL Standard or Above 18% 25% 14% 21% 14% 17% 18% 18% 7% 23% At Masters GL Standard 11% 13% 10% 14% <	Approaches GL Standard or	48%	38%	55%	41%	-	-	-	57%	49%	36%	36%	21%	*	56%	29%
At Masters 11% 13% 10% 14% 0% 10% 18% 18% 0% 15% Number of Jest At Approaches 35 35 33 16 12 A <t< td=""><td>At Meets GL Standard or</td><td>18%</td><td>25%</td><td>14%</td><td>21%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>14%</td><td>17%</td><td>18%</td><td>18%</td><td>7%</td><td>*</td><td>23%</td><td>5%</td></t<>	At Meets GL Standard or	18%	25%	14%	21%	-	-	-	14%	17%	18%	18%	7%	*	23%	5%
At Approaches GL Standard or Above 35 33 16 12 $ +$ 29 $+$ $+$ 4 29 $+$ 44 43 $+$ 29 $+$ 44 43 $+$ 29 $+$ 44 43 $+$ 29 $+$ 44 43 $+$ 29 $+$ 44 43 $+$ 42 46 $4bove$ $4bove$ 41 </td <td>At Masters GL</td> <td>11%</td> <td>13%</td> <td>10%</td> <td>14%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0%</td> <td>10%</td> <td>18%</td> <td>18%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>*</td> <td>15%</td> <td>0%</td>	At Masters GL	11%	13%	10%	14%	-	-	-	0%	10%	18%	18%	0%	*	15%	0%
Approaches Standard or AboveImage: standard or 	Number of 1	Tests														
At Meets GL Standard or Above13246 $ -$ 110221 $-$ 12Standard or Above334 $ -$ 10 0	At Approaches GL Standard or		3	16	12	-	-	-	4	29	4	4	3	*	29	6
At Masters GL Standard8134 a^{-} <t< td=""><td>At Meets GL Standard or</td><td>13</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>6</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>10</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>*</td><td>12</td><td>1</td></t<>	At Meets GL Standard or	13	2	4	6	-	-	-	1	10	2	2	1	*	12	1
Note resta No	At Masters GL	8	1	3	4	-	-	-	0	6	2	2	0	*	8	(
% 100% * 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% * 100% 100% 100% * <th< td=""><td>Total Tests</td><td>73</td><td>8</td><td>29</td><td>29</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>7</td><td>59</td><td>11</td><td>11</td><td>14</td><td>*</td><td>52</td><td>21</td></th<>	Total Tests	73	8	29	29	-	-	-	7	59	11	11	14	*	52	21
participation Second Seco	Participatio	n														
participation	participation		*	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%
2020-21			90%	97%	100%	-	-	-	89%	97%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	89%
Writing								Wri	iting							

9/12/21, 1:40 PM

TEA

								Two or			EL	Special	Special	Continu-	Non- Continu
	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander	More	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)	(Current & Monitored)	Ed	Ed	ously	ously
\t	54%	*	55%	67%	-	-	-	*	48%	*	*	0%	*	65%	25%
Approaches GL Standard or Above															
At Meets GL Standard or Above	21%	*	18%	25%	-	-	-	*	19%	*	*	0%	*	30%	0%
At Masters GL Standard	7%	*	0%	17%	-	-	-	*	10%	*	*	0%	*	10%	0%
Number of 1	Tests														
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	15	*	6	8	-	-	-	*	10	*	*	0	*	13	2
At Meets GL Standard or Above	6	*	2	3	-	-	-	*	4	*	*	0	*	6	0
At Masters GL Standard	2	*	0	2	-	-	-	*	2	*	*	0	*	2	0
Total Tests	28	*	11	12	-	_	-	*	21	*	*	6	*	20	8
Participatio												_			_
% participation 2018-19	100%	*	100%	100%	-	-	-	*	100%	100%	100%	*	-	100%	100%
% participation 2020-21	93%	*	100%	100%	-	-	-	*	95%	*	*	100%	*	100%	80%
							Sci	ence							
Percent of 1															
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	60%	*	58%	*	-	-	-	*	59%	40%	40%	*	*	64%	50%
At Meets GL Standard or Above	25%	*	17%	*	-	-	-	*	29%	0%	0%	*	*	29%	17%
At Masters GL Standard	15%	*	17%	*	-	-	-	*	18%	0%	0%	*	*	14%	17%
Number of 1	Fests	I			I		1				I	I	1	1	1
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	12	*	7	*	-	-	-	*	10	2	2	*	*	9	3
At Meets GL Standard or Above	5	*	2	*	-	-	-	*	5	0	0	*	*	4	1
At Masters GL Standard	3	*	2	*	-	-	-	*	3	0	0	*	*	2	1
Total Tests	20	*	12	*	-	-	-	*	17	5	5	*	*	14	6
Participatio															
% participation 2018-19	100%	*	100%	100%	-	-	-	*	100%	100%	100%	*	*	100%	100%
% participation 2020-21	95%	*	92%	*	-	-	-	*	95%	100%	100%	*	*	100%	88%

9/12/21, 1:40 PM

Two Non-EL Special Continu- Continuor Special Pacific EL All African American More Econ (Current & Ed Ed ously ously Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv (Current) Monitored) (Current) (Former) Enrolled Enrolled Social Studies Percent of Tests At Approaches GL Standard or Above At Meets --GL Standard or Above At Masters --------GL Standard Number of Tests At Approaches GĹ Standard or Above At Meets _ _ _ -. _ _ . . GL Standard or Above At Masters _ _ --GL Standard Total Tests --_ -_ --_ ------Participation % _ ---_ _ --_ participation 2018-19 % -_ --_ _ -_ -participation 2020-21

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial / ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial / ethnic group is masked regardless of size.

Released August 2021

TEA | Governance and Accountability | Performance Reporting

TEA